	Case 3:15-cv-00357-LRH-WGC Document 3	33 Filed 10/08/15 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	LUXEYARD, INC.,) 3:15-cv-00357-LRH-WGC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	VS.) re: ECF No. 29
12	KAY HOLDINGS, INC., SANO HOLDINGS, INC., and ROBERT WHEAT,))
13	Defendants.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		defendant at his or harplace of hyginess as Plaintiff
2324		
25		
26		
27		
28	¹ Refers to court's docket number.	
_ 5	² That is, either the law of the state where the court is located, i.e., Nevada, or the law of the state where the person upon whom services is sought to be effected is believed to reside, i.e., California.	

Theoretically, the Plaintiff can attempt to effect service upon Defendant Wheat in the fashion Plaintiff has proposed and see if Defendant responds, but barring submission of legal authorities which would convince this court Plaintiff may effect service in this fashion, the court is not in a position to authorize service in the manner proposed by Plaintiff.

Last, the court declines Plaintiff's invitation to "direct" an alternative mode of service. (ECF No. 29 at 2.) If Plaintiff desires to seek leave to effect service by other means authorized by federal or Nevada state law, e.g., service by publication, Plaintiff will have to file a motion to seek leave to effect service by alternative means.

Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 29) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 8, 2015.

William G. Cobb WILLIAM G. COBB

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE